



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

AN
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/898,509	07/03/2001	Ravneet Singh	7290/6	4020
7590	06/20/2005		EXAMINER	
FRANK C. NICHOLAS CARDINAL LAW GROUP SUITE 2000 1603 ORRINGTON AVENUE EVANSTON, IL 60201-5043			LUU, LE HIEN	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2141		
DATE MAILED: 06/20/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/898,509	SINGH ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Le H Luu	2141		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 March 2005.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on 01/17/2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other: _____

Art Unit: 2141

1. Claims 1-14 are presented for examination.
2. Claims 1, 3, and 9 are objected to because of the following informalities: It seems that there is a typographical error when applicant claims "sending an electronic yard sign from a communication node on a selected list". For purpose of examination Examiner assumes applicant meant "sending an electronic yard sign to a communication node on a selected list ". Appropriate correction is required.
3. New corrected drawings are required in this application because some drawings are informal, illegible, and poor quality for publication. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.
4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

or

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

5. Claims 1, 3, 7-9 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Bayer et al. (Bayer) patent no. 6,311,190.

6. As to claim 1, Bayer teaches the invention as claimed, including a method of tracking information relating to email recipients for campaign purposes (Abstract, and Summary of the Invention) comprising:

 sending an electronic yard sign to a communication node on a selected list the message including at least one recipient function (col. 6 lines col. 13 line 48 - col. 14 line 13; col. 18 line 45 - col. 19 line 11);

 receiving recipient input from the recipient function at the node (col. 6 lines 28-65);

 storing the recipient input in a database (col. 6 line 66 - col. 7 line 36; col. 16 line 6 - col. 17 line 8); and

searching the database of recipient input (col. 17 line 9 - col. 8 line 17).

7. As to claim 7, Bayer teaches the recipient function is sending the electronic yard sign to others (col. 13 line 48 - col. 14 line 13).

8. As to claim 8, Bayer teaches searching the database of recipient input (col. 17 line 9 - col. 8 line 17).

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

10. Claims 2, 4-6, 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Bayer et al. (Bayer) patent no. 6,311,190.

11. As to claim 2, Bayer teaches the invention substantially as claimed as discussed above. In addition, Bayer teaches sending electronic yard sign to others (col. 13 line 48 - col. 14 line 13). Moreover, Bayer teaches defining a set of questions in VoteQuestion table, and defining type of response sets for each of the questions in QuestionType table (col. 4 lines 1-9; col. 6 line 66 - col. 7 line 36). However, Bayer does not explicitly teach the recipient function is selected from a list consisting of: voluntary services to

candidate campaign, indicating support for candidate, and making donation to campaign.

Official Notice is taken that it is historically well known that people have provided voluntarily services to candidate campaign, supported for candidate, and made donation to campaign.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention to combine the well known teachings with Bayer's teachings to provide a list consisting of: voluntary services to candidate campaign, indicating support for candidate, and making donation to campaign in the recipient function because it would quickly provide a summary of recipient's responses for each question.

12. Claims 3-6 and 9-14 have similar limitations as claims 1-2 and 7-8; therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Le H Luu whose telephone number is 571-272-3884. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on 571-272-3880. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



LE HIEN LUU
PRIMARY EXAMINER

June 14, 2005